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Gingival recession is common, with reported prevalences ranging between 17% and 87.5% in populations of all ages. Recession that extends beyond the mucogingival junction may be caused by any number of factors, including a history of orthodontic treatment, occlusal trauma, misaligned teeth or teeth that are positioned outside of the alveolar housing, periodontitis, improper brushing, inadequate attached gingiva, frenal pull, and a shallow vestibule. Recession may also be associated with deep gingival pockets, bleeding on probing, heavy plaque buildup, tooth sensitivity, root caries, and a poor crown-to-root ratio. Patients are often concerned about the progressive nature of gingival recession, as well as aesthetic problems.

The anterior mandible is a challenging area for clinicians when gingival recession occurs. The frenal pull, shallow vestibule, and narrow interdental space render correction difficult. However, numerous studies have shown that gingival re-
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cession in this area can be successfully treated with tissue grafting. Root coverage can be restored, the volume of keratinized tissue can be augmented, sensitivity can be lessened, and aesthetic problems can be mitigated with grafting.

In the case presented, a combination of subepithelial connective tissue graft (SECTG) along with a coronally advanced flap was able to achieve 100% root coverage of a Miller Class II gingival recession.

**CASE REPORT**

A 34-year-old man was referred for treatment of gingival recession. He presented with a problematic mandibular left central incisor (tooth No. 24), which displayed progressive gingival recession (Figures 1 to 3). He had undergone orthodontic treatment twice, first at age 15 and again a few years prior to presenting in our office.

The initial clinical examination disclosed gingival recession beyond the mucogingival junction (Miller Class II), an aberrant frenum (Figure 4), a lack of attached gingiva, deep pocket, bleeding on probing, and occlusal trauma. All of these factors plus the 2 courses of orthodontic treatment may have contributed to the recession. The patient also reported sensitivity in the area.

The proposed treatment plan called for tissue grafting after scaling and root planing, occlusal adjustment, frenectomy, and delivery of an occlusal guard. Following application of local anesthesia, contaminated cementum was removed from the root of tooth No. 24 by a combination of hand and ultrasonic instruments (Figure 5).

Treatment of this tooth was similar to another recent case, a molar implant with gingival deformity on the facial aspect. To prevent further attachment loss and to restore the damaged area, a SECTG was harvested as described by Langer and Langer to reconfigure the soft tissue into ideal contours and ensure optimal periodontal health. The initial sulcular incision was made with a No. 15c blade (Carbon Steel [Benco Dental]), followed by vertical incisions to prepare the recipient site to accommodate the SECTG. The facial full-thickness flap extended apically beyond the mucogingival junction, and then became a split-thickness flap at its most apical part so that it could be relaxed completely for coronal advancement. Flap reflection enabled observation of significant bone loss on the facial aspect of tooth No. 24 (Figure 6). This serves as a reminder that gingival recession is a sign of bone loss that needs treatment.

Bone grafting was not performed. In the authors’ view, this mucogingival deformity was not associated with an infectious process in this patient. Another reason to refrain from bone grafting at the same time as soft tissue grafting is that the newly placed connective tissue on the facial aspect of tooth No. 24 would require a blood supply from underneath the vital structures to survive, and the nonvital implanted bone graft could not assist in the survival of another entity (connective tissue). However, bone grafting can be done after soft-tissue grafting when the deficiency of soft tissue at the recipient site has been corrected. Because the lack of soft tissue at the recipient site was pronounced, a large graft was harvested (Figures 7 to 10) from the premolar area, since it has the potential to provide thicker grafts with adequate vascularity that are less likely to recede. A thick portion (15 x 10 x 4 mm) of connective tissue was obtained from the maxillary left palate in the canine/premolar region (Figures 8 to 10), adapted to the recipient site, and secured with single interrupted 5.0 Vicryl sutures (polyglactin 910, P3 [Ethicon]) (Figure 11). Next, the flap was positioned coronally to provide complete coverage of the graft (Figure 12).

To prevent post-treatment infection, pain, and swelling, the patient was given amoxicillin orally (500 mg tid), ibuprofen...
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Figure 10. A thick (10 x 5 x 2 mm) graft of connective tissue was harvested from the maxillary left palate in the canine/ premolar region.

Figure 11. The graft was adapted and secured to the recipient site with single interrupted 5.0 Vicryl sutures (Ethicon).

Figure 12. The flap then released internally and coronally advanced to completely cover the connective tissue graft. It was secured with single interrupted 5.0 Vicryl sutures.

(800 mg, tid as needed), and methylprednisolone (Medrol Dosepack). Additionally, a chlorhexidine rinse (chlorhexidine gluconate [Acclean], 0.12% oral rinse USP) was used twice daily.

The results are shown at 18 months in Figures 13 and 14. In this case, the patient was pleased because his concerns were resolved. The aesthetic concern of the tooth appearing longer than its neighbors was gone, there was little to no plaque buildup on the treated tooth compared to the adjacent teeth, the sensitivity had been eliminated, the exposed root was completely covered, and the inflamed and bleeding gums were resolved. At 18 months post-treatment, there was an increase of 6 mm in tissue height, lack of frenum pull, deepening of the vestibule, realignment of the mucogingival junction, and a strengthened supporting structure of the mandibular anterior teeth.

**DISCUSSION**

**Management of Localized Severe Gingival Recession**

Gingival recession is common, even in the most conscientious patients; Matas et al. observed it in 85% of dental students. Therefore, all possible approaches to its management must be considered for patients. Options would include observation without treatment, placement of restorative material, removal of the tooth and replacement with an implant, or gingival augmentation.

The first option, observation, is free of charge, but further breakdown of the supporting structure of the affected tooth is almost inevitable. Restorative options include the placement of white or pink restorative material, such as composite, to improve aesthetics in the area. However, this would not be very stable and would not correct the “roller coaster” tissue profile that hints at the underlying loss of hard and soft tissue (and the weakening support for the tooth). Goldstein et al. noted that this approach may even complicate the problem rather than resolving it, and the authors of this article have noted the same in our practice. Restorative materials may mask underlying pathology, which can be difficult to diagnose radiographically and fully discerned only through a surgical approach, and allow additional plaque and calculus to accumulate in the area. Also, throughout time, an aesthetic mismatch may develop between the restoration and the patient’s natural dentition, necessitating further treatment and perhaps removal of additional vital tooth structure to remedy the problem.

It has become increasingly common to remove a tooth that has caries, mild to moderate periodontal disease, or infected pulp, and replace it with an implant. Although implant technologies and techniques have made great strides in recent decades, replacement of a vital tooth with an implant can be problematic for many reasons. First, the tissues around implants are more susceptible to plaque-associated infections, and areas of infection have been reported to be larger and extend more apically when compared to natural dentition. In patients susceptible to periodontitis, as the present patient was, the risk of peri-implantitis is higher. In addition, treatment of peri-implantitis is not predictable. Implants are more expensive to maintain than teeth, and biological and technical complications are common. Lang et al. in a consensus
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A further advantage of gingival grafting over other approaches is its ability to regenerate the supporting tissues. Agudio et al\textsuperscript{25} found that creeping attachment (ie, coronal migration of the gingival margin, with continuing good probing depths) continued, even at 10 to 25 years after free gingival grafting. Goldstein et al\textsuperscript{29} found that, after subepithelial connective tissue grafting, all the attachment apparatus of teeth was regenerated, including bone, cementum, and periodontal ligament. An implant, in contrast, cannot contribute living tissue to regenerate any supporting apparatus, and it can be difficult to create gingival harmony with neighboring teeth, as interdental bone in particular will still be lacking.

In the current case, the treatment regenerated the gingiva that had been injured, damaged, or lost. The most ideal treatment plan was to augment the gingiva on the mandibular left central incisor with gingival grafts. This was done to facilitate home care, resist trauma and abrasion from brushing, prevent root caries, and resolve the sensitivity. The treatment was also aesthetically pleasing, because the exposed root problem was resolved and the tissue profile was placed back in line with the adjacent teeth.

This case report illustrates why the referral team approach is beneficial for both patients and referring doctors. The patient is very happy with the outcome and is also pleased with the referring doctor.

**CONCLUSION**

Gingival grafting can help to strengthen the gums and reduce gingival inflammation, reduce the risk of root caries due to better plaque control, reduce or eliminate root sensitivity in covered areas, and improve gingival aesthetics by covering exposed roots with healthy tissue. The result will be healthy and happy patients who feel good about their teeth and their dentists (Figures 13 and 14).

Sometimes a tooth that is diagnosed as hopeless is not so hopeless. If recession is managed properly, the tooth can be brought back to normal function and the supporting tissue can be regenerated. Perfection may not be possible, but more conservative and less expensive treatment may appeal to patients. Even if 100% root coverage is not accomplished, much can be achieved, including resolution of root sensitivity.

The authors advocate a balanced approach between implants and periodontal regenerative therapy (eg, grafting). We advise exploring the options on a case-by-case basis with patients and cross-disciplinary colleagues, and working together as a team to accomplish cost-effective, positive outcomes.
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POST EXAMINATION QUESTIONS

1. Gingival recession that extends beyond the mucogingival junction may be caused by:
   b. Occlusal trauma.
   c. Improper tooth brushing.
   d. All of the above.

2. Treating gingival recession in the anterior mandible is particularly difficult due to:
   a. Frenal pull.
   b. Shallow vestibule.
   c. Narrow interdental space.
   d. All of the above.

3. Tissues around implants are more susceptible to plaque-associated infection compared to natural teeth. Areas of infection around implants have been reported to be larger and extend more apically compared to natural teeth.
   a. The first statement is true, the second is false.
   b. The first statement is false, the second is true.
   c. Both statements are true.
   d. Both statements are false.

4. Lang et al found that complications occurred in approximately ______ of patients treated with implant-supported prostheses after 10 years in function.
   a. 30%.
   b. 40%.
   c. 50%.
   d. 60%.

5. Agudio et al found that free gingival grafting achieved significantly better results for canines/incisors than for molars/premolars in terms of keratinized tissue gain.
   a. True.
   b. False.

6. Gingival augmentation has been shown to be predictable in treating gingival recession. The thinner the flap, the better the root coverage.
   a. The first statement is true, the second is false.
   b. The first statement is false, the second is true.
   c. Both statements are true.
   d. Both statements are false.

7. A 2-stage procedure involving tissue grafting plus a coronally positioned flap should not be used on teeth with carious roots.
   a. True.
   b. False.
8. One advantage of free gingival grafting is its ability to regenerate supporting tissues. Creeping attachment may continue 10 to 25 years after free gingival grafting.
   a. The first statement is true, the second is false.
   b. The first statement is false, the second is true.
   c. Both statements are true.
   d. Both statements are false.

9. Goldstein et al found that after subepithelial connective tissue grafting, the following was/were regenerated:
   a. Bone.
   b. Cementum.
   c. Periodontal ligament.
   d. All of the above.

10. Gingival grafting can accomplish the following:
    a. Reduce gingival inflammation.
    b. Reduce the risk of root caries.
    c. Reduce or eliminate root sensitivity.
    d. All of the above.
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