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Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF), which was initially developed in the early 2000s, has seen a wide and steady increase in popularity as a regenerative agent capable of being utilized as a natural barrier membrane in guided bone regeneration (GBR). While much focus to date has been placed on the biological properties of PRF, this article introduces how to utilize this 100% natural fibrin clot as a barrier membrane for GBR procedures. The authors discuss the clinical relevance of utilizing PRF as a sole barrier membrane, and examine biologically, as well as clinically, the pros and cons of combining PRF over/under a standard collagen barrier membrane for routine GBR procedures in everyday clinical practice.

Biological Advantages of Platelet-Rich Fibrin

The use of platelet concentrates as a regenerative agent in dental medicine has been utilized for more than 2 decades. In the late 1990s, Marx et al. pioneered the novel discovery that platelet concentrates harvested using a centrifuge (a procedure termed platelet-rich plasma [PRP]) could be utilized to reach supra-physiological doses of growth factors, further improving the regenerative outcomes of various clinical applications in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Two of the main reported drawbacks from the procedures were (1) the supplemental use of anti-coagulants, which prevented optimal wound healing, and (2) the procedure was deemed lengthy (2 centrifugation cycles) for routine applications in everyday dental practice. For these reasons, a second generation platelet concentrate, termed platelet-rich fibrin (PRF), was developed by Choukroun et al. a few years later with anti-coagulant removal. This platelet concentrate utilized only one centrifugation cycle (typically 8 to 12 minutes) and has been shown to vastly increase the regenerative potential of tissues by favoring the slow and gradual release of growth factors trapped within its fibrin matrix.

PRF clots are formed at the end of the centrifugation cycle in the upper layer of centrifugation tubes (Figure 1). Following their removal, they may be utilized for different modalities in dentistry by either being compressed and utilized for extraction sockets, cut into small fragments and mixed with bone grafting particles, or alternatively flattened to produce barrier membranes for GBR procedures (Figure 2). The following is a discussion of the 3 principal advantages of utilizing fibrin scaffolds as an adjunct to collagen barrier membranes during standard GBR procedures.

Lack of a Foreign Body Reaction

One of the main advantages of utilizing PRF as a barrier membrane for GBR procedures is that PRF is entirely derived from autologous blood without any additives and therefore does not cause a foreign body reaction. Since blood is collected without the use of anti-coagulants, the fibrin clot entraps a variety of cell types...
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that simultaneously act as a “defense system” toward incoming pathogens. Foreign body giant cells are not formed at the material surface as a result of its 100% autologous source, in contrast to many collagen barrier membranes fabricated from porcine or bovine origins.\(^6,7\) PRF membranes, however, typically have very short resorption times ranging from a 10- to a 28-day period.\(^8\) During their resorption, a slow and gradual release of growth factors is observed from within the PRF matrix.\(^9\)

**Release of Growth Factors From Within the Scaffold**
The main advantage of PRF versus other resorbable barrier membranes is that PRF contains living cells (namely platelets and leukocytes) capable of further secreting growth factors. As a result, the release of active proteins found in blood, including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta), are all found within the PRF matrix and slowly released over time.\(^9\) Recent research from within the authors’ laboratories has shown that while PRP releases growth factors in higher levels at very early time points due to its gel- or liquid-like consistency, PRF scaffolds release growth factors and cytokines more slowly and gradually over time (Figure 3).\(^9\) PRF membranes are gradually resorbed within 14 days. During this time, a slow and continuous secretion of growth factors from within the scaffolds leads to an overall higher total release of growth factors when compared to PRP after 10 days (Figure 3). For cellular activity, the slow and gradual release of bioactive molecules, as opposed to their “shotgun” delivery as found in PRP, is favored for long-term tissue stimulation, and, for this reason, PRF has gradually seen a steady and more widespread use in regenerative dentistry.

**Incorporation of Leukocytes Within Platelet-Rich Fibrin**
The inclusion of leukocytes within PRF scaffolds has been deemed one of the main advantages and reasons for the high regenerative capacity of PRF.\(^4\) In fact, from a terminology point of view, PRF was later termed L-PRF in the late 2000s in response to the growing evidence that leukocytes were shown...
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Figure 4. (a) A lateral window sinus elevation procedure filled with a bone grafting material. (b) PRF membrane alone may be utilized to close the lateral window and favor soft-tissue healing over such regenerative approaches (case performed by Dr. Michael A. Pikos).

Figure 5. (a) to (d) An immediate implant placement with PRF being utilized to fill the gap and improve soft-tissue healing around the coronal portion of the implant (case performed by Dr. Michael A. Pikos).

Figure 6. (a) and (b) PRF can also be additionally utilized around the implant healing cap to further improve soft-tissue healing during immediate implant placement. (c) Notice the healing of soft tissues around this immediate implant after a 3-week healing period (case performed by Dr. Michael A. Pikos).

Use of PRF as a Sole Barrier Membrane During GBR Procedures

Since PRF has a short resorption time ranging from 10 to 15 days, one of the questions commonly arising is “Can we use PRF alone as a replacement to collagen barrier membranes?” In general, the use of barrier membranes was developed to prevent fast-growing soft tissues from entering the slowly growing compartment containing bone. While theoretically their role has been debated over the past decade, the question commonly arising is “When and if can PRF be utilized alone or should it be routinely combined with a collagen barrier membrane?” The consensus agreed upon by a group of experts in the field promoted the use of PRF as a sole barrier membrane only when re-entry (a second surgical flap) was not required. A common example of this is during window closure of a lateral window filled with a bone grafting material (Figure 4) when re-entry is not expected. Furthermore, many...
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Clinicians have begun to experiment with the use of PRF during immediate implant placement without flap elevation (Figure 5). PRF membranes can be placed around the implant collars to facilitate more rapid soft-tissue healing without having to utilize a collagen barrier membrane. Similarly, PRF can also be utilized in a “poncho” technique and wrapped around the healing cap to favor soft-tissue attachment and prevent infection (Figure 6). More frequently during GBR procedures, however (and most notably during extensive GBR cases), PRF membranes are combined with either a collagen barrier membrane or titanium/titanium-reinforced membranes. The following is a description of how to proceed in such cases.

Use of PRF Membranes With Collagen Barrier Membranes

One of the questions that arises most frequently during the authors’ continuing education courses is whether to use the PRF membranes over or under standard collagen barrier membranes. To address this question, it is best that the treating clinician understand the biological advantages and disadvantages of each scenario. One of the main advantages of PRF scaffolds is their incorporation of defense-fighting leukocytes. During large GBR procedures, one of the concerns with utilizing avital barrier membranes is their risk of exposure and subsequent infection. From this point of view, if a PRF membrane is placed underneath a collagen barrier membrane, the avascular and avital collagen membrane runs the risk of being left exposed to the oral cavity; a potential risk of exposure/infection may occur. In the event that a suture is accidentally dislodged or tension within the flap causes slight exposure to the underlying membrane, infection of the collagen scaffold is a possibility. In the reverse order, if...
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A PRF membrane is placed over a collagen barrier membrane, even if PRF is left exposed to the oral cavity, due to its supra-physiological high quantity of pathogen-fighting leukocytes, the chance of infection is reduced dramatically, nearly tenfold (Figure 7). This is pivotal, especially for the ever-increasing number of systemically compromised patients with diabetes and smokers, as well as the large population of patients taking medications that may alter wound healing. A second noted advantage is that PRF is known to rapidly promote greater soft-tissue wound healing/regeneration when compared to hard tissues. Therefore, from a biological point of view, it is strategic to utilize PRF in contact with soft tissues on the outer surface of barrier membranes (Figure 7). As a rule of thumb, it is always advantageous to utilize PRF on the outer surface of GBR procedures over-top of collagen barrier membranes.

Nevertheless, while the effects of PRF are still debatable on new bone formation, it certainly promotes angiogenesis within defect areas, an equally important area, especially for large vertical GBR augmentations. Therefore, it is advantageous to implement PRF underneath barrier membranes in certain cases, especially when non-resorbable membranes are utilized (Figure 8). Since blood flow from the periosteum is not able to supply angiogenesis through the non-resorbable PTFE membranes, PRF is able to supply early growth factors responsible for new blood vessel formation within the underlying bone augmentation procedures (Figure 8).

Use of PRF Membranes Over-Top of Titanium Membranes to Limit Their Early Exposure

It has been well documented in the literature that one of the frequent complications associated with utilizing titanium meshes is their high risk of exposure, documented in the 20% to 40% range (Figure 9).22 Therefore, the additional use of PRF over-top of titanium meshes has been proposed to limit the rate of exposure/complication by favoring faster and thicker soft-tissue healing (thereby decreasing the chance of exposure) (Figure 10). Since PRF is adequately available as a low-cost regenerative agent, it is routinely added to GBR procedures with titanium meshes in order to limit complications associated with their use. As a second rule of thumb, when GBR procedures are performed with a titanium mesh, it is highly advised to always utilize PRF membranes over-top of titanium meshes to reduce their chance of exposure.

Conclusion

The use of PRF has gained tremendous momentum as a low-cost biological scaffold capable of improving tissue healing. There are 2 main concluding factors that are essential for routine dental practice. First, PRF alone should only be utilized when re-entry is not expected. More often during large GBR procedures, its combination with a barrier membrane is advised. Second, in such combination cases, it is always advised to place PRF membranes over-top of the collagen barrier membranes. In this way, PRF membranes can be utilized to speed defect closure, improve soft-tissue wound healing/regeneration, and further bear the added defense component from pathogen-fighting leukocytes capable of significantly reducing the rate of infection and complication.
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POST EXAMINATION QUESTIONS

1. Platelet-rich plasma, developed in the late 1990s, involved two centrifugation cycles. Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF), a second-generation platelet concentrate, involves only one centrifugation cycle.
   a. The first statement is true, the second is false.
   b. The first statement is false, the second is true.
   c. Both statements are true.
   d. Both statements are false.

2. PRF is entirely derived from autologous blood without additives and does not cause a foreign body reaction.
   a. True.
   b. False.

3. PRF membranes typically have resorption times ranging from:
   a. 3 to 7 days.
   b. 7 to 10 days.
   c. 10 to 28 days.
   d. 28 to 42 days.

4. The following is/are found within the PRF matrix and slowly released over time:
   a. Vascular endothelial growth factor.
   b. Platelet-derived growth factor.
   c. Transforming growth factor-beta.
   d. All of the above.

5. The inclusion of _______ within PRF scaffolds is one of the main reasons for the high regenerative capacity of PRF.
   a. Neutrophils.
   b. Leukocytes.
   c. Monocytes.
   d. Granulocytes.

6. One study found that by placing PRF into an extraction socket, infection rates and dry sockets were reduced to ________.
   a. 9.5%.
   b. 5%.
   c. 3%.
   d. 1%.

7. The consensus is that PRF should be used as a sole barrier membrane only when re-entry (a second surgical flap) is not required.
   a. True.
   b. False.

8. If a PRF membrane is placed over a collagen barrier membrane, even if PRF is left exposed to the oral cavity, the chance of infection is reduced nearly ________.
   a. Twofold.
   b. Fivefold.
   c. Sevenfold.
   d. Tenfold.
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9. One frequent complication associated with titanium meshes is their high risk of exposure, documented in the range of
   __________.
   a. 5% to 10%.
   b. 10% to 20%.
   c. 20% to 40%.
   d. 40% to 50%.

10. PRF alone should only be utilized when re-entry is not expected. More often during large guided bone regeneration procedures, its combination with a barrier membrane is advised.
   a. The first sentence is true, the second is false.
   b. The first sentence is false, the second is true.
   c. Both sentences are true.
   d. Both sentences are false.
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